

COOLEY LLP
MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127)
(rhodesmg@cooley.com)
KYLE C. WONG (224021)
(kwong@cooley.com)
SHARON SONG (313535)
(ssong@cooley.com)
GIA JUNG (340160)
(gjung@cooley.com)
3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4004
Telephone: (415) 693-2000
Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

Attorneys for Defendants
Bumble Inc. and
Bumble Holding Limited

EDGE, A PROFESSIONAL LAW
CORPORATION
DANIEL A. ROZENBLATT (336058)
(daniel@edge.law)
SETH W. WIENER (203747)
(seth@edge.law)
1341 La Playa Street 20
San Francisco, CA 94122
Telephone: (415) 515-4809

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harsh Alkutkar

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

HARSH ALKUTKAR, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,
v.

BUMBLE INC. and
BUMBLE HOLDING LIMITED,
Defendants.

Case No. 4:22-cv-00422-PJH

**STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER
FOR A ONE-DAY EXTENSION FOR
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION**

Assigned to Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1 and 6-2, Plaintiff Harsh Alkutkar (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Bumble Inc. and Bumble Holding Limited (together, “Defendants”) (collectively, “the Parties”) by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows, subject to Court approval.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the Class Action Complaint in this action (the “Complaint”) against Defendants on January 22, 2022 (*see* ECF No. 1);

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2022, the Court granted the Parties’ stipulation to set a briefing schedule for Defendants’ motions in response to the Complaint, including a Motion to Compel Arbitration and a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint (the “Responsive Motions”) (*see* ECF No. 25);

WHEREAS, based on the March 24, 2022 order, Defendants’ Responsive Motions are due April 19, 2022 and the hearing for both motions is scheduled for June 30, 2022 (*see id.*);

WHEREAS, Defendants are having unexpected logistical issues that may impact their ability to file the Motion to Compel Arbitration on April 19, 2022, relating to a supporting declaration from an individual located in Europe;

WHEREAS, out of an abundance of caution, Defendants have sought Plaintiff’s agreement to stipulate to a one-day extension of the deadline for their Motion to Compel Arbitration;

WHEREAS, the Court has provided that the initial Case Management Conference will be set after Defendants’ Responsive Motions are decided (*see id.*);

WHEREAS, the Court has not yet set a date for trial, pre-trial conference, discovery cutoff, or any other pending deadline in this action;

WHEREAS, there has been one prior stipulation to set a briefing schedule for Defendants’ Responsive Motions, which the Court granted;

WHEREAS, good cause exists for this stipulation because unforeseen logistical issues have impacted Defendants’ ability to file their Motion to Compel Arbitration on April 19, 2022, and a one-day extension to the deadline for this motion will not prejudice the parties or require the hearing date for the Responsive Motions to be rescheduled.

STIPULATION

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the Parties, that, subject to Court approval, the following schedule will govern the Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration:

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration	April 19, 2022	April 20, 2022
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Arbitration	May 17, 2022	May 18, 2022
Defendants' Reply in Support of the Motion to Compel Arbitration	June 7, 2022	June 8, 2022

The briefing schedule for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, as set by the Court in its March 24, 2022 order (*see* ECF No. 25), will remain in effect. Nothing herein shall be deemed a waiver of any rights or defenses by any party.

Dated: April 19, 2022

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Kyle C. Wong

Kyle C. Wong

Attorney for Defendants
Bumble Inc. and Bumble Holding Limited

Dated: April 19, 2022

EDGE, A PROFESSIONAL LAW
CORPORATION

/s/ Daniel A. Rozenblatt

Daniel A. Rozenblatt

Attorney for Plaintiff
Harsh Alkutkar

ATTESTATION

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, Kyle C. Wong hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

Dated: April 19, 2022

/s/ Kyle C. Wong
Kyle C. Wong

PROPOSED ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Judge

267870352